Professor Jon Hoover

The Tawhīds of Ibn Taymiyya

Ibn Taymiyya (d. 1328) is well known in the scholarly literature for his emphasis on two <code>tawhīds: tawhīd al-ulūhiyya</code> is demonstrating God's exclusive divinity (<code>ulūhiyya</code>)—God's right to be worshipped as a god—by worshipping God alone, and <code>tawhīd al-rubūbiyya</code> is confessing the exclusivity of God's lordship (<code>rubūbiyya</code>) by acknowledging God as the sole creator of all things and the only real source of help. Ibn Taymiyya criticizes the Ash'arī Kalām theologians for overemphasizing <code>tawhīd al-rubūbiyya</code>—something that even the Arab pagans affirmed—at the expense of <code>tawhīd al-ulūhiyya</code> and thereby opening the door to the associationism (<code>shirk</code>) of worshipping creatures alongside God, a danger that Ibn Taymiyya detects in the Sufi shrine religion of his day. Ibn Taymiyya responds by prioritizing <code>tawhīd al-ulūhiyya</code> and explaining that demonstrating God's unique divinity by worshipping Him alone includes confessing God's sole lordship (<code>tawhīd al-rubūbiyya</code>).

As the proposed paper will show, Ibn Taymiyya also links $tawh\bar{\imath}d$ to God's names and attributes by invoking a post-classical division of Arabic sentences into two types: khabar and $insh\bar{a}$ '. The term khabar refers to informational statements or assertions that, as Ibn Taymiyya himself notes, may be affirmed as true or denied as false. $Insh\bar{a}$ ' covers all other types of sentences, including imperatives, requests, wishes, exclamations, and promises. Ibn Taymiyya typically equates $insh\bar{a}$ ' with command (amr), prohibition (nahy), and permission $(ib\bar{a}ha)$, that is, whatever relates to acts and deeds. The $khabar - insh\bar{a}$ ' division appears to have emerged in the study of Arabic rhetoric only in the 7th/13th century, and today scholars of the Arabic language observe that it largely maps onto the distinction between constative and performative utterances in Austin's speech act theory.

Ibn Taymiyya provides his fullest discussion of $tawh\bar{\imath}d$ framed by the khabar- $insh\bar{a}$ ' distinction in his treatise Tadmuriyya. The paper will examine how Ibn Taymiyya fleshes out the two $tawh\bar{\imath}ds$ expressed in this work. Following the structure of Tadmuriyya, the first part on al- $tawh\bar{\imath}d$ fil- $sif\bar{a}t$ will examine how Ibn Taymiyya interprets God's names and attributes in dialogue with Ash'arī theologians and other opponents, and the second part will show that his discussion of al- $tawh\bar{\imath}d$ fil- $ib\bar{a}da$ expresses the same views found in his treatments of $tawh\bar{\imath}d$ al- $ul\bar{u}hiyya$ and $tawh\bar{\imath}d$ al- $ul\bar{u}hiyya$ in other works.



Professor Jon Hoover

University of Nottingham

Jon Hoover is Associate Professor of Islamic Studies at the University of Nottingham. He specialises in Islamic intellectual history, medieval Islamic theology and philosophy, Christian–Muslim relations, and the thought of Ibn Taymiyya. He is the author of *Ibn Taymiyya*'s *Theodicy of Perpetual Optimism*, and numerous articles and book chapters on Ibn Taymiyya's theology and ethics.